



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,335	335 09/12/2001		Michael Benje	BENJE-1 (PCT)	4175
25889	7590	05/12/2004		EXAMINER	
WILLIAM		· 	PRICE, ELVIS O		
COLLARD 1077 NORT	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROSLYN, N	NY 1157	6	1621		

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advison: Action	09/936,335	BENJE, MICHAEL					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Elvis O. Price	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated at the same of the	ation. A proper reply to a					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leading ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of the cont	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. RE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square appr	oved or b)☐ disapproved by t	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. ☐ Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the passage, the last paragraph of page 6 to the first paragraph of page 7, which applicants point to in the present specification does not adequately describe the presently claimed invention as defined in claim 41. There is no positive recitation of passing the ethylene throught a mixing and dissolving zone followed by completely dissolving the ethylene in the reaction medium. In fact, in the second paragraph of page 6 of the present specification, only chlorine is referred to as being passed through a mixing and dissolving zone. Thus, it is uncertain that applicants had possesion of the presently claimed invention at the time of filing..

on one